

Obeid, Mamon

From: Raymond E. Roberts (IPVG) [rroberts@ipvglaw.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2011 10:53 PM
To: Obeid, Mamon
Subject: RE: Proposed amendment to claims in 09/423,025

Examiner Obeid,

Thank you. Your proposed amendment to claim 12 is fine. Claim 13 then becomes redundant and should be cancelled. And cancelling claims 26-31 is also acceptable.

I believe that will leave only claims 12 and 14-15 remaining in the case, wherein claims 14-15 already depend from claim 12.

From my reading of the MPEP, for this particular type of post appeal situation, Everything here, including cancelling the claims, is permitted by examiner's amendment. If that is acceptable to you, please proceed accordingly.

Raymond E. Roberts

Patent Venture Group
10788 Civic Center Drive, Suite 215
Rancho Cucamonga, California 91730-3805
Tel: (909) 758-5145 | Fax: (888) 847-2501
RRoberts@ipvglaw.com | www.pvglaw.com or www.ipvglaw.com

From: Obeid, Mamon [mailto:Mamon.Obeid@USPTO.GOV]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2011 12:31 PM
To: 'RRoberts@ipvglaw.com'
Subject: RE: Proposed amendment to claims in 09/423,025

From: Raymond E. Roberts (IPVG) [mailto:rroberts@ipvglaw.com]
Sent: Friday, September 02, 2011 3:23 PM
To: Obeid, Mamon
Subject: Proposed amendment to claims in 09/435,025

Examiner Obeid,

Thank you for the telephone discussion. Attached are copies of proposed amendments.

Please feel free to use my cell number ((909) 472-5308) for discussion or suggestions on these.
Thank you.

Raymond E. Roberts

Patent Venture Group
10788 Civic Center Drive, Suite 215
Rancho Cucamonga, California 91730-3805
Tel: (909) 758-5145 | Fax: (888) 847-2501
RRoberts@ipvglaw.com | www.pvglaw.com or www.ipvglaw.com